arXiv:1606.08134v1 [math.CV] 27 Jun 2016

ON SOME SUBCLASS OF HARMONIC CLOSE-TO-CONVEX MAPPINGS

NIRUPAM GHOSH AND A. VASUDEVARAO

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the class of harmonic functions f in $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ normalized by $f(0) = 0 = f_z(0) - 1$. For $\alpha \geq 0$, we consider the following class

$$\mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha) := \{f = h + \overline{g} \in \mathcal{H} : \mathrm{Re}\,(h'(z) + \alpha z h''(z)) > |g'(z) + \alpha z g''(z)|, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}\}.$$

In this paper, we first prove the coefficient conjecture of Clunie and Sheil-Small for functions in the class $\mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$. We also prove growth theorem, convolution, convex combination properties for functions in the class $\mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$. Finally, we determine the value of r so that the partial sums of functions in the class $\mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ are close-to-convex in $|z| < r$.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the class of complex-valued harmonic functions f in the unit disk $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ normalized by $f(0) = 0 = f_z(0) - 1$. Any function f in $\mathcal{H}$ has the canonical representation $f = h + \overline{g}$, where

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n. \tag{1.1}$$

Here both h and g are analytic functions in $\mathbb{D}$ and are called analytic and co-analytic part of f respectively. In particular, for $g(z) = 0$, the class $\mathcal{H}$ reduces to the class $\mathcal{A}$, consisting of analytic functions in $\mathbb{D}$ with $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 1$. If $f = h + \overline{g}$ then the Jacobian $J_f(z)$ of f is defined by $J_f(z) = |h'(z)|^2 - |g'(z)|^2$ and we say f is sense preserving if $J_f(z) > 0$ in $\mathbb{D}$. Let $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$ be the subclass of $\mathcal{H}$ consisting of univalent (that is, one-to-one) and sense preserving harmonic mappings. If $g(z) = 0$ in $\mathbb{D}$ then the class $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$ reduces to the class $\mathcal{S}$, containing univalent analytic functions in $\mathbb{D}$ with $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 1$. Furthermore, if $f = h + \overline{g} \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$ then $|g'(0)| = |b_1| < 1$ (as $J_f(0) = 1 - |g'(0)|^2 = 1 - |b_1|^2 > 0$). Thus function

$$F(z) = \frac{f - b_1 \overline{f}}{1 - |b_1|^2}$$

belongs to the class $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$. Clearly F is univalent because F is an affine mapping of f. A simple observation shows that $F_{\overline{z}}(0) = 0$. Thus we may restrict our attention to the following subclass

$$\mathcal{S}^0_{\mathcal{H}} := \{f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}} : b_1 = \overline{f_{\overline{z}}(0)} = 0\}.$$

File: nirupam-vasu-cvee-2016.tex, printed: 2016-6-28, 2.04

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C45, 30C80.

Key words and phrases. Univalent, harmonic functions, starlike, convex, close-to-convex functions, coefficient estimates, convolution.

Hence for any function $f = h + \overline{g}$ in $\mathcal{S}^0_{\mathcal{H}}$, its analytic and co-analytic parts can be represented by

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n. \tag{1.2}$$

The family $\mathcal{S}^0_{\mathcal{H}}$ is known to be compact and normal, whereas $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$ is normal but not compact. In 1984, Clunie and Sheil-Small [6] investigated the class $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$ and its geometric subclasses. Since then, the class $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$ and its subclasses have been extensively studied (see [4], [5], [6], [14], [32]). A domain Ω is called starlike with respect to a point $z_0 \in \Omega$ if the line segment joining z_0 to any point in Ω lies in Ω. In particular if $z_0 = 0$ then Ω is called starlike domain. A complex-valued harmonic mapping $f \in \mathcal{H}$ is said to be starlike if $f(\mathbb{D})$ is starlike domain with respect to the origin. The class of harmonic starlike functions in $\mathbb{D}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{S}^*_{\mathcal{H}}$. A domain Ω is said to be convex domain if it is starlike with respect to every point in Ω. A function f in $\mathcal{H}$ is said to be convex if $f(\mathbb{D})$ is convex. The class of harmonic convex mappings in $\mathbb{D}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{H}}$. A domain Ω is said to be close-to-convex if the complement of Ω can be written as union of non-intersecting half lines. A function $f \in \mathcal{H}$ is called close-to-convex in $\mathbb{D}$ if $f(\mathbb{D})$ is close-to-convex domain. The class of harmonic close-to-convex mappings in $\mathbb{D}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}$. If $f_{\overline{z}}(0) = 0$ then the classes $\mathcal{S}^*_{\mathcal{H}}$, $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}$ reduce to $\mathcal{S}^{*\,0}_{\mathcal{H}}$, $\mathcal{K}^0_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\mathcal{C}^0_{\mathcal{H}}$ respectively. In analytic case, $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ respectively) is a subclasses of $\mathcal{S}$ that contains functions f such that $f(\mathbb{D})$ is starlike (convex and close-to-convex respectively) domain.

Clinie and Sheil-Small [6] proved the following result which gives a sufficient condition for a harmonic function f to be close-to-convex.

Lemma 1.3. [6] *Suppose h and g are analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ with $|g'(0)| < |h'(0)|$ and $h + \epsilon g$ is close-to-convex analytic function for each ϵ ($|\epsilon| = 1$). Then $f = h + \overline{g}$ is harmonic close-to-convex in $\mathbb{D}$.*

A classical problem for functions in the class $\mathcal{S}$ where functions are of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

is to find the sharp upper bound for the absolute value of the coefficients a_n for $n \geq 2$. In 1916, Bieberbach [2] proved that if $f \in \mathcal{S}$ then $|a_2| \leq 2$ and conjectured that $|a_n| \leq n$ for $n \geq 2$. In 1985, de Branges [3] proved this conjecture affirmatively. The following analogous type of conjecture was proposed by Clunie and Sheil-Small [6] for functions in the class $\mathcal{S}^0_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Conjecture 1. *Let $f = h + \overline{g}$ belong to $\mathcal{S}^0_{\mathcal{H}}$, where the representation of h and g are given by (1.2). Then $|a_n| \leq A_n$ and $|b_n| \leq B_n$ for $n \geq 2$ where*

$$A_n = \frac{(2n+1)(n+1)}{6} \quad \text{and} \quad B_n = \frac{(2n-1)(n-1)}{6}. \tag{1.4}$$

Clunie and Sheil-Small [6] verified Conjecture 1 for typically real functions. In 1990, Sheil-Small [30] proved Conjecture 1 for functions f in $\mathcal{S}^0_{\mathcal{H}}$ such that $f(\mathbb{D})$ is starlike with respect to the origin or $f(\mathbb{D})$ is convex in one direction. In 2001,

Wang and Liang [32] proved Conjecture 1 for functions in the class $\mathcal{C}^0_{\mathcal{H}}$. However Conjecture 1 is still open for the class $\mathcal{S}^0_{\mathcal{H}}$. Equality occurs in (1.4) for the harmonic Koebe function

$$K(z) = \frac{z - \frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{1}{6}z^3}{(1-z)^3} + \overline{\frac{\frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{1}{6}z^3}{(1-z)^3}}. \tag{1.5}$$

A harmonic function $f \in \mathcal{H}$ is said to be fully starlike (resp. fully convex) if each $|z| < r$ is mapped onto a starlike (resp. convex) domain. In 2013, Nagpal and Ravichandran [16] proved the following theorem.

Theorem A. [16] *A sense preserving harmonic function $f = h + \overline{g}$ is fully starlike in $\mathbb{D}$ if the analytic functions $h + \epsilon g$ are starlike in $\mathbb{D}$ for each ϵ ($|\epsilon| = 1$).*

In 2013, Li and Ponnusamy [11] investigated the properties of functions in the class

$$\mathcal{P}^0_{\mathcal{H}} := \{f = h + \overline{g} \in \mathcal{H} : \mathrm{Re}(h'(z)) > |g'(z)|, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}\}.$$

The class $\mathcal{P}^0_{\mathcal{H}}$ is closely related to the class $\mathcal{R} := \{f \in \mathcal{S} : \mathrm{Re}(f'(z)) > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}\}$ which was introduced by MacGregor [15]. It has been proved that a harmonic function $f = h + \overline{g}$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{P}^0_{\mathcal{H}}$ if and only if the analytic functions $h + \epsilon g$ belong to $\mathcal{R}$ for each ϵ ($|\epsilon| = 1$) (see [11], [12]). Using this property, Li and Ponnusamy [11] have obtained the coefficient bounds and radius of convexity for the functions in the class $\mathcal{P}^0_{\mathcal{H}}$.

In 1977, Chichra [7] studied the subclass $\mathcal{W}(\alpha)$, consisting of $f \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\mathrm{Re}\,(f'(z) + \alpha z f''(z)) > 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. It has been proved that for $\mathrm{Re}\,\alpha \geq 0$, the members of $\mathcal{W}(\alpha)$ are univalent in $\mathbb{D}$ and $\mathcal{W}(\alpha)$ is a subclass of the class of analytic close-to-convex functions. In 2010, the regions of variability for functions in the class $\mathcal{W}(\alpha)$ was studied by Ponnusamy and Vasudevarao [20]. In 1982, R. Singh and S. Singh [28] proved that $\mathcal{W}(1)$ is a subclass of analytic starlike functions. In 2014, Nagpal and Ravichandran [17] studied the following class

$$\mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}} := \{f = h + \overline{g} \in \mathcal{H} : \mathrm{Re}(h'(z) + zh''(z)) > |g'(z) + zg''(z)|, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}\}$$

which is the harmonic analogue of the class $\mathcal{W}(1)$. It is known that $\mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a subclass of ${\mathcal{S}^*_{\mathcal{H}}}^0$ and $\mathcal{P}^0_{\mathcal{H}}$. In particular, the members of $\mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}$ are fully starlike in $\mathbb{D}$. The sharp coefficient bounds and the growth theorem for functions in the class $\mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}$ have been investigated in [17]. It has been proved that the class $\mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}$ is closed under convolution and convex combinations.

.

For two analytic functions

$$F_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad \text{and} \quad F_2(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n,$$

the convolution (or Hadamard product) is defined by

$$F_1 * F_2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Analogously, for harmonic functions $f_1 = h_1 + \overline{g_1}$ and $f_2 = h_2 + \overline{g_2}$ in $\mathcal{H}$, the convolution is defined as

$$f_1 * f_2 = h_1 * h_2 + \overline{g_1 * g_2}.$$

In 1973, Ruscheweyh and Sheil-Small [24] proved that the class of convex analytic functions is closed under convolution. It is well-known that if $f \in \mathcal{C}$ and $g \in \mathcal{S}^*$ then $f*g \in \mathcal{S}^*$ and the convolution of convex function with close-to-convex function is also close-to-convex. However, the class of analytic starlike functions is not closed under the convolution. In 1984, Clunie and Sheil-Small [6] proved that if f is harmonic convex function and ϕ is analytic convex function, then the function $f * (\phi + \alpha\overline{\phi})$ is harmonic close-to-convex function for all α ($|\alpha| < 1$). For the extensive study on convolution of harmonic mappings we refer to [8], [9] and [10].

Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ be in the class $\mathcal{S}$. Then the n^{th} partial sum of $f(z)$ is defined by

$$s_n(f) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k z^k \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

where $a_0 = 0$ and $a_1 = 1$. Analogously in harmonic case, the p, q-th section/partial sum of harmonic function $f = h + \overline{g}$ given by (1.1) is defined as follows:

$$s_{p,q} = s_p(h) + \overline{s_q(g)}$$

where $s_p(h) = \sum_{k=1}^{p} a_k z^k$ and $s_q(g) = \sum_{k=1}^{q} b_k z^k$, $p, q \geq 1$ with $a_1 = 1$.

In 1928, Szegö [31] proved a remarkable result which asserts that every section $s_n(f)$ of a function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ is univalent in the disk $|z| < 1/4$. The number $1/4$ is the best possible as is evident from the second partial sum of Koebe function $k(z) = z/(1-z)^2$. For $f \in \mathcal{S}$, determining the exact radius of univalence r_n of $s_n(f)$ remains an open problem. However, many related problems concerning sections have been solved for various geometric subclasses of $\mathcal{S}$. In 1941, Robertson [23] studied the partial sums of multivalently starlike functions (see also [22]). In 1988, Ruscheweyh [25] proved a strong result by showing that the partial sums, $s_n(f)$ are starlike in the disk $|z| < 1/4$ not only for the functions f in the class $\mathcal{S}$ but also for the closed convex hull of $\mathcal{S}$. For many interesting results on sections of analytic functions we refer to [18], [21] and [26] (also see [1, 19, 27]). In 2013, Li and Ponnusamy [12] discussed the properties of sections of functions in the class

$$\mathcal{P}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha) := \{f = h + \overline{g} : \operatorname{Re}(h'(z) - \alpha) > |g'(z)| \quad \text{for} \quad z \in \mathbb{D}\}.$$

In 2015, Li and Ponnusamy [13] investigated the properties of sections of stable harmonic convex functions.

In this paper we introduce the following class $\mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ (for $\alpha \geq 0$)

$$\mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha) := \{f = h + \overline{g} \in \mathcal{H} : \operatorname{Re}(h'(z) + \alpha z h''(z)) > |g'(z) + \alpha z g''(z)| \quad \text{for} \quad z \in \mathbb{D}\}$$

with $g'(0) = 0$. The organization of this paper as follows: In section 2, we prove that the class $\mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ is a subclass of close-to-convex harmonic mappings. We also obtain the sharp coefficient bounds and growth theorem for functions in the class $\mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$. In Section 3, we obtain the convolution and convex combination properties

of functions in the class $\mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$. Finally, in section 4, we determine r so that the partial sums $s_{p,q}(f)$ of $f \in \mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ are close-to-convex in the disk $|z| < r$.

2. Main Result

Theorem 2.1. *A harmonic mapping $f = h + \overline{g}$ is in $\mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ if and only if the analytic function $F = h + \epsilon g$ belongs to $\mathcal{W}(\alpha)$ for each $|\epsilon| = 1$.*

Proof. If $f = h + \overline{g} \in \mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ then for each $|\epsilon| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Re}(F'(z) + \alpha z F''(z)) &= \mathrm{Re}((h'(z) + \alpha z h''(z)) + \epsilon(g'(z) + \alpha z g''(z))) \\ &> \mathrm{Re}((h'(z) + \alpha z h''(z)) - |(g'(z) + \alpha z g''(z))| \\ &> 0 \quad \text{for} \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $F = h + \epsilon g \in \mathcal{W}(\alpha)$ for each $|\epsilon| = 1$. Conversely, if $F \in \mathcal{W}(\alpha)$ then

$$\mathrm{Re}((h'(z) + \alpha z h''(z) + \epsilon(g'(z) + \alpha z g''(z)) > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \mathbb{D}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\mathrm{Re}((h'(z) + \alpha z h''(z)) > \mathrm{Re}(-\epsilon(g'(z) + \alpha z g''(z))) \quad \text{for} \quad \mathbb{D}.$$

Since ϵ ($|\epsilon| = 1$) is arbitrary, for an appropriate choice of ϵ we obtain

$$\mathrm{Re}((h'(z) + \alpha z h''(z)) > |g'(z) + \alpha z g''(z)| \quad \text{for} \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Consequently $f \in \mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$. □

Note that for $\alpha \geq 0$, each function in the class $\mathcal{W}(\alpha)$ is close-to-convex. Hence by Lemma 1.3, $\mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ is a subclass of $\mathcal{C}^0_{\mathcal{H}}$ for $\alpha \geq 0$. In 1977, Chichra [7] proved that if $0 \leq \beta < \alpha$ then $\mathcal{W}(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{W}(\beta)$. Thus $\mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\beta)$ if $0 \leq \beta < \alpha$. In view of this, $\mathcal{W}(\alpha)$ is starlike for $\alpha \geq 1$ because the class $\mathcal{W}(1)$ is starlike. For $\alpha \geq 1$, if $f \in \mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ then $h + \epsilon g \in \mathcal{W}(\alpha)$ is starlike function in $\mathbb{D}$ for each ϵ ($|\epsilon| = 1$). Hence by Theorem A, $\mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha) \subset {\mathcal{S}^*_{\mathcal{H}}}^0$ for all $\alpha \geq 1$. In particular, for $\alpha \geq 1$ each member of $\mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ is fully starlike.

The following theorems give sharp coefficient bounds for functions in the class $\mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $f = h + \overline{g} \in \mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \geq 0$ be of the form (1.2). Then for $n \geq 2$,*

$$|b_n| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha n^2 + n(1-\alpha)}. \tag{2.3}$$

The result is sharp for the function $f(z)$ which is given by $f(z) = z + \frac{1}{\alpha n^2 + n(1-\alpha)}\overline{z^n}$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$. Then

$$\mathrm{Re}(h'(z) + \alpha z h''(z)) > |g'(z) + \alpha z g''(z)| \quad \text{for} \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \tag{2.4}$$

Using the series expansion of $g(z)$ and (2.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} r^{n-1}(\alpha n^2+(1-\alpha)n)|b_n| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} |g'(re^{i\theta})+\alpha re^{i\theta}g''(re^{i\theta})|\,d\theta \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \mathrm{Re}(h'(re^{i\theta})+\alpha(re^{i\theta})h''(re^{i\theta}))\,d\theta \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $r\to 1^-$ we obtain the desired bound. To show the bound in (2.3) is sharp, we consider $f(z)=z+\frac{1}{\alpha n^2+n(1-\alpha)}\overline{z^n}$. It is easy to see that $f\in\mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ and $|b_n(f)|=\frac{1}{\alpha n^2+n(1-\alpha)}$. □

Theorem 2.5. *Let $f=h+\overline{g}\in\mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ for $\alpha\geq 0$ be of the form (1.2). Then for any $n\geq 2$,*

(i) $|a_n|+|b_n|\leq \dfrac{2}{\alpha n^2+n(1-\alpha)}$;

(ii) $||a_n|-|b_n||\leq \dfrac{2}{\alpha n^2+n(1-\alpha)}$;

(iii) $|a_n|\leq \dfrac{2}{\alpha n^2+n(1-\alpha)}$.

All these results are sharp for the function $f(z)$ which is given by $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\frac{2}{\alpha n^2+n(1-\alpha)}z^n$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove only the first inequality as the rest follow from it. Since $f=h+\overline{g}\in\mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ by Theorem 2.1, $h+\epsilon g$ is in the class $\mathcal{W}(\alpha)$ for ϵ ($|\epsilon|=1$). Thus for each $|\epsilon|=1$ we have

$$\mathrm{Re}\,((h+\epsilon g)'+\alpha z(h+\epsilon g)'')>0 \quad \text{for} \quad z\in\mathbb{D}.$$

This implies there exists an analytic function $p(z)$ which is of the form $p(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}p_nz^n$ with $\mathrm{Re}\,p(z)>0$ in $\mathbb{D}$ such that

$$h'(z)+\alpha zh''(z)+\epsilon(g'(z)+\alpha zg''(z))=p(z). \tag{2.6}$$

Comparing the coefficients on the both sides of (2.6) we obtain

$$(\alpha n^2+(1-\alpha)n)(a_n+\epsilon b_n)=p_{n-1} \quad \text{for} \quad n\geq 2. \tag{2.7}$$

Since $|p_n|\leq 2$ for $n\geq 1$ and ϵ ($|\epsilon|=1$) is arbitrary, it follows from (2.7) that

$$(\alpha n^2+(1-\alpha)n)(|a_n|+|b_n|)\leq 2 \tag{2.8}$$

which yields the first inequality.

To prove the sharpness of (2.8), we consider the function $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\frac{2}{\alpha n^2+n(1-\alpha)}z^n$. It is easy to see that $f\in\mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ and all the three inequalities are sharp. □

Remark 2.1. (i) For $\alpha = 0$, the class $\mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ reduces to $\mathcal{R}^0_{\mathcal{H}}$ which was studied by Li and Ponnusamy [12]. If we put $\alpha = 0$ in Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.5, we obtain the results [12, Theorems 1 and 2] as a particular case.

(ii) For $\alpha = 1$, the class $\mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ reduces to $\mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(1) = \{f = h + \overline{g} : \mathrm{Re}(h'(z) + zh''(z)) > |g'(z) + zg''(z)|\}$ which was studied by Nagpal and Ravichandran [17]. If we put $\alpha = 1$ in Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.5, we obtain the result [17, Theorm 3.5] as a particular case.

Theorem 2.9. *Let $f = h + \overline{g} \in \mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ be as in (1.2) with $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Then*

$$|z| + 2\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}|z|^n}{\alpha n^2 + n(1-\alpha)} \leq |f(z)| \leq |z| + 2\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{|z|^n}{\alpha n^2 + n(1-\alpha)}. \tag{2.10}$$

This result is sharp for the function $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\alpha n^2 + n(1-\alpha)} z^n$ and its rotations.

Proof. Let $f = h + \overline{g}$ be in the class $\mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$. Then $F = h + \epsilon g$ is in the class $\mathcal{W}(\alpha)$ and for each $|\epsilon| = 1$ we have

$$\mathrm{Re}\,(F'(z) + \alpha z F''(z)) > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \tag{2.11}$$

Thus there exists an analytic function $\omega(z)$ with $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(z)| < 1$ in $\mathbb{D}$ such that

$$F'(z) + \alpha z F''(z) = \frac{1 + \omega(z)}{1 - \omega(z)}. \tag{2.12}$$

A simplification of (2.12) gives

$$\begin{aligned} z^{1/\alpha} F'(z) &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^z \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \frac{1 + \omega(\xi)}{1 - \omega(\xi)}\, d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^{|z|} (te^{i\theta})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \frac{1 + \omega(te^{i\theta})}{1 - \omega(te^{i\theta})} e^{i\theta}\, dt. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} |z^{1/\alpha} F'(z)| &= \left| \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^{|z|} (te^{i\theta})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \frac{1 + \omega(te^{i\theta})}{1 - \omega(te^{i\theta})} e^{i\theta}\, dt \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^{|z|} t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \frac{1+t}{1-t}\, dt \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|z^{1/\alpha}F'(z)| = \left|\frac{1}{\alpha}\int_0^{|z|}(te^{i\theta})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}\frac{1+\omega(te^{i\theta})}{1-\omega(te^{i\theta})}e^{i\theta}\,dt\right|$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{\alpha}\int_0^{|z|}t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}\mathrm{Re}\,\frac{1+\omega(te^{i\theta})}{1-\omega(te^{i\theta})}\,dt$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{\alpha}\int_0^{|z|}t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}\mathrm{Re}\,\frac{1-t}{1+t}\,dt.$$

Further computation gives

$$|F'(z)| = |h'(z)+\epsilon g'(z)| \leq 1+2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{|z|^n}{1+\alpha n}. \tag{2.13}$$

and

$$|F'(z)| = |h'(z)+\epsilon g'(z)| \geq 1+2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^n|z|^n}{1+\alpha n}.$$

Since ϵ ($|\epsilon|=1$) was arbitrary, it follows from (2.13) that

$$|h'(z)|+|g'(z)| \leq 1+2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{|z|^n}{1+\alpha n}$$

and

$$|h'(z)|-|g'(z)| \geq 1+2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^n|z|^n}{1+\alpha n}.$$

Let Γ be the radial segment from 0 to z, then

$$|f(z)| = \left|\int_\Gamma \frac{\partial f}{\partial\xi}\,d\xi+\frac{\partial f}{\partial\overline{\xi}}\,d\overline{\xi}\right| \leq \int_\Gamma(|h'(\xi)|+|g'(\xi)|)|\,d\xi|$$
$$\leq \int_0^{|z|}\left(1+2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{|t|^n}{1+\alpha n}\right)dt = |z|+2\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\frac{|z|^n}{\alpha n^2+(1-\alpha)n}$$

and also

$$|f(z)| = \int_\Gamma\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial\xi}\,d\xi+\frac{\partial f}{\partial\overline{\xi}}\,d\overline{\xi}\right| \geq \int_\Gamma(|h'(\xi)|-|g'(\xi)|)|\,d\xi|$$
$$\geq \int_0^{|z|}\left(1+2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^n|t|^n}{1+\alpha n}\right)dt = |z|+2\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^n|z|^n}{\alpha n^2+(1-\alpha)n}$$

The equality in (2.10) holds for the function $f(z)$ which is given by

$$f(z) = z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\frac{2}{\alpha n^2+n(1-\alpha)}z^n$$

and its rotations. □

The following result is a sufficient condition for functions to be in the class $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha)$.

Theorem 2.14. *Let $f = h + \overline{g} \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}^0$ be of the form (1.2) and satisfies the condition*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(\alpha n^2 + (1-\alpha)n)(|a_n| + |b_n|)) < 1. \tag{2.15}$$

Then $f \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha)$.

Proof. Let $f = h + \overline{g} \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}^0$. Using the series representation of $h(z)$ given by (1.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\left(h'(z) + \alpha z h''(z)\right) &= 1 + \operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(\alpha n^2 + (1-\alpha)n)a_n z^{n-1}\right) \\ &\geq 1 - \left|\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(\alpha n^2 + (1-\alpha)n)a_n z^{n-1}\right| \\ &\geq 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(\alpha n^2 + (1-\alpha)n)|a_n|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

In view of (2.15), the inequality (2.16) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\left(h'(z) + \alpha z h''(z)\right) &> \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(\alpha n^2 + (1-\alpha)n)|b_n| \\ &\geq \left|\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(\alpha n^2 + (1-\alpha)n)b_n\right| \\ &= |g'(z) + \alpha z g''(z)| \end{aligned}$$

and hence $f \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha)$. □

3. Convex combinations and Convolution

In this section, we show that the class $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha)$ is closed under convex combinations. Also we show that $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha)$ is closed under convolution.

Theorem 3.1. *The class $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha)$ is closed under convex combinations.*

Proof. Let $f_i := h_i + \overline{g_i} \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha)$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 1$ $(0 \leq t_i \leq 1)$. The convex combination of f_i's can be written as

$$f(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i f_i(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)}$$

where $h(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i h_i(z)$ and $g(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i g_i(z)$. Then h and g both are analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ with $h(0) = g(0) = h'(0) - 1 = g'(0) = 0$. A simple computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Re}\left(h'(z) + \alpha z h''(z)\right) &= \operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i (h_i'(z) + \alpha z h_i''(z))\right) \\ &> \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i |g_i'(z) + \alpha z g_i''(z)| \\ &\geq |g'(z) + \alpha z g''(z)|.\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $f \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha)$. □

A sequence $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of non-negative numbers is said to be a convex null sequence if $c_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ and

$$c_0 - c_1 \geq c_1 - c_2 \geq c_2 - c_3 \geq ... \geq c_{n-1} - c_n \geq ... \geq 0.$$

To prove the convolution results on the class $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha)$ we need the following lemmas:

Lemma 3.2. [29] *Let $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a convex null sequence. Then the function*

$$q(z) = \frac{c_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n$$

is analytic and $\operatorname{Re} q(z) > 0$ *in* $\mathbb{D}$.

Lemma 3.3. [29] *Let $p(z)$ be an analytic function in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0) = 1$ and* $\operatorname{Re}(p(z)) > 1/2$ *in* $\mathbb{D}$. *Then for any analytic function f in $\mathbb{D}$, the function $p * f$ takes values in the convex hull of the image of $\mathbb{D}$ under f.*

Using Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, we prove the following interesting lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *Let F be in the class $\mathcal{W}(\alpha)$. Then* $\operatorname{Re}(\frac{F(z)}{z}) > 1/2$.

Proof. Let $F \in \mathcal{W}(\alpha)$ be given by $F(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n z^n$. Since $F \in \mathcal{W}(\alpha)$, $\operatorname{Re}\left(F'(z) + \alpha z F''(z)\right) > 0$ in $\mathbb{D}$, which is equivalent to

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n (n^2\alpha + n(1-\alpha)) z^{n-1}\right) > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Therefore $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 1/2$ in $\mathbb{D}$, where

$$p(z) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n (n^2\alpha + n(1-\alpha)) z^{n-1}.$$

Consider a sequence $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ defined by $c_0 = 1$ and $c_{n-1} = \frac{2}{n^2\alpha + n(1-\alpha)}$ for $n \geq 2$. Then it is easy to see that $c_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ and

$$c_0 - c_1 \geq c_1 - c_2 \geq c_2 - c_3 \geq ... \geq c_{n-1} - c_n \geq ... \geq 0.$$

Thus the sequence $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a convex null sequence. In view of Lemma 3.2, the function

$$q(z) = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{2}{n^2\alpha + n(1-\alpha)} z^{n-1}$$

is analytic and $\mathrm{Re}(q(z)) > 0$ in $\mathbb{D}$. A simple computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F(z)}{z} &= 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n z^{n-1} \\ &= p(z) * \left(1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{2}{n^2\alpha + n(1-\alpha)} z^{n-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

An application of Lemma 3.3 yields $\mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{F(z)}{z}\right) > 1/2$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. □

Lemma 3.5. *Let F_1 and F_2 be in $\mathcal{W}(\alpha)$. Then the Hadamard product $F_1 * F_2$ is in $\mathcal{W}(\alpha)$.*

Proof. Let $F_1(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n z^n$ and $F_2(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B_n z^n$. Then the convolution of F_1 and F_2 is given by

$$F(z) = (F_1 * F_2)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n B_n z^n.$$

Since $zF'(z) = zF_1'(z) * F_2(z)$, a computation shows that

$$F'(z) + z\alpha F''(z) = (F_1'(z) + z\alpha F_1''(z)) * \left(\frac{F_2(z)}{z} \right). \tag{3.6}$$

Since $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{W}(\alpha)$, $\mathrm{Re}\,(F_1'(z) + z\alpha F_1''(z)) > 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. In view of Lemma 3.4, $\mathrm{Re}\,(F_2(z)/z) > 1/2$ in $\mathbb{D}$. An application of Lemma 3.3 to (3.6) gives $\mathrm{Re}\,(F'(z) + z\alpha F''(z)) > 0$ in $\mathbb{D}$. Hence $F = F_1 * F_2$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{W}(\alpha)$. □

Using Lemma 3.5 we shall prove the following interesting result.

Theorem 3.7. *If f_1 and f_2 are in $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha)$ then $f_1 * f_2$ is in $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha)$.*

Proof. Let $f_1 = h_1 + \overline{g}_1$ and $f_2 = h_2 + \overline{g}_2$ be two functions in the class $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha)$. The convolution of f_1 and f_2 is given by $f_1 * f_2 = h_1 * h_2 + \overline{g_1 * g_2}$. To show $f_1 * f_2$ is in the class $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha)$, it is sufficient to prove that $F = h_1 * h_2 + \epsilon(g_1 * g_2)$ is in $\mathcal{W}(\alpha)$ for each ϵ ($|\epsilon| = 1$). Since by Lemma 3.5, $\mathcal{W}(\alpha)$ is closed under convolution, for each ϵ ($|\epsilon| = 1$), $h_i + \epsilon g_i \in \mathcal{W}(\alpha)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Hence the following functions

$$F_1 = (h_1 - g_1) * (h_2 - \epsilon g_2)$$

and

$$F_2 = (h_1 + g_1) * (h_2 + \epsilon g_2)$$

are in the class $\mathcal{W}(\alpha)$. Again, since $\mathcal{W}(\alpha)$ is closed under convex combination, the function

$$\frac{1}{2}(F_1 + F_2) = (h_1 * h_2) + \epsilon(g_1 * g_2) = F$$

is in the class $\mathcal{W}(\alpha)$. Hence $\mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ is closed under convolution. □

In 2002, Goodloe [10] considered the Hadamard product of harmonic function with an analytic function defined as follows:

$$f\tilde{*}\phi = h * \phi + \overline{g * \phi}$$

where $f = h + \overline{g}$ is harmonic and ϕ is analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $f \in \mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$ be such that $\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\phi(z)}{z}\right) > 1/2$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then $f\tilde{*}\phi \in \mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$.*

Proof. Let $f = h + \overline{g}$ be in the class $\mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$. Then we have

$$f\tilde{*}\phi = h * \phi + \overline{g * \phi}.$$

In view of Theorem 2.1, to prove $f\tilde{*}\phi$ is in the class $\mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$, it suffices to prove that $F(z) = h * \phi + \epsilon(g * \phi)$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{W}(\alpha)$ for each ϵ ($|\epsilon| = 1$). Since $f \in \mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$, for each ϵ ($|\epsilon| = 1$) the function $F_1(z) = h + \epsilon g$ belongs to $\mathcal{W}(\alpha)$. Therefore $F = F_1 * \phi$ and

$$F'(z) + \alpha z F''(z) = (F_1'(z) + \alpha z F_1''(z)) * \frac{\phi(z)}{z}.$$

Since $\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\phi(z)}{z}\right) > 1/2$ and $\operatorname{Re}(F_1'(z) + \alpha z F_1''(z)) > 0$ in $\mathbb{D}$, in view of Lemma 3.3, it can be seen that $F \in \mathcal{W}(\alpha)$. □

As a consequence of Theorem 3.8, we obtained the following result.

Corollary 3.9. *Suppose f belongs to $\mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{K}$. Then $f\tilde{*}\phi \in \mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$.*

Proof. Since ϕ is convex, $\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\phi(z)}{z}\right) > 1/2$ for z in $\mathbb{D}$ and hence the desired result follows from Theorem 3.8. □

4. Partial sums of functions in $\mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$

Lemma 4.1. *Let $f = h + \overline{g}$ be in the class $\mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ with $\alpha \geq 0$. Then for $|\epsilon| = 1$ and $|z| < 1/2$, we have*

$$\operatorname{Re}\left((s_3(h) + \epsilon s_3(g))' + \alpha z (s_3(h) + \epsilon s_3(g))''\right) > 1/4.$$

Proof. Let $f = h + \overline{g} \in \mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$. Then by Theorem 2.1, $h + \epsilon g$ is in the class $\mathcal{W}(\alpha)$ for ϵ ($|\epsilon| = 1$). Then $\operatorname{Re} F_\epsilon(z) > 0$ where

$$F_\epsilon(z) = (h + \epsilon g)' + \alpha z (h + \epsilon g)'' = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k.$$

It is easy to see that

$$|2c_2 - c_1^2| \leq 4 - |c_1|^2.$$

Now let $2c_2 - c_1^2 = c$. Then $c_2 = c/2 + c_1^2/2$ and $|c| \leq 4 - |c_1|^2$. Let $c_1 z = \alpha + i\beta$ and $\sqrt{c}z = \gamma + i\delta$, where α, β, γ and δ are real numbers. Then for $|z| < 1/2$ it is easy to see that

$$\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = |c_1|^2|z|^2 < \frac{|c_1|^2}{4}$$

and

$$\gamma^2 + \delta^2 = |c||z|^2 < \frac{|c|}{4} \leq 1 - \frac{|c_1|^2}{4} \leq 1 - (\alpha^2 + \beta^2). \tag{4.2}$$

Therefore from (4.2) and $c_2 = c/2 + c_1^2/2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{Re}\left((s_3(h) + \epsilon s_3(g))' + \alpha z(s_3(h) + \epsilon s_3(g))''\right) \\
&= \operatorname{Re}\left(1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2\right) \\
&= \operatorname{Re}\left(1 + c_1 z + \frac{c}{2}z^2 + \frac{c_1^2}{2}z^2\right) \\
&= 1 + \alpha + \left(\frac{\gamma^2}{2} - \frac{\delta^2}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{2} - \frac{\beta^2}{2}\right) \\
&> 1 + \alpha + \left(\frac{\gamma^2}{2} - \frac{1 - (\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2)}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{2} - \frac{\beta^2}{2}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{4} + \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + \gamma^2 \geq \frac{1}{4}
\end{aligned}$$

which yields the desired result. □

Theorem 4.3. *Let $f \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha)$. Then for each $q \geq 2$, $s_{1,q}(f) \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha)$ for $|z| < 1/2$.*

Proof. Let $f = h + \overline{g}$ be in the class $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha)$, where h and g are of the form (1.2). Clearly,

$$s_{1,q}(f)(z) = s_1(h)(z) + \overline{s_q(g)(z)} = z + \overline{\sum_{n=2}^{q} b_n z^n}.$$

and $\operatorname{Re}\left(s_1(h)'(z) + \alpha z(s_1(h)''(z))\right) = 1$. An application of Theorem 2.2 shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
|s_q(g)'(z) + \alpha z s_q(g)''(z)| &= \left|\sum_{n=2}^{q}(n^2\alpha + n(1-\alpha))b_n z^{n-1}\right| \\
&\leq \sum_{n=2}^{q}(n^2\alpha + n(1-\alpha))|b_n||z^{n-1}| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{q}|z|^{n-1} \\
&= \frac{|z|(1-|z|^{q-1})}{1-|z|} < \frac{|z|}{1-|z|} < 1 \\
&= \operatorname{Re}\left(s_1(h)'(z) + \alpha z(s_1(h)''(z))\right)
\end{aligned}$$

when $|z| < 1/2$. Therefore $s_{1,q}(f) \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha)$ in $|z| < 1/2$.

□

Theorem 4.4. *Let $f \in \mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ and p and q satisfy one of the following conditions :*

(i) $3 \leq p < q$,
(ii) $p = q \geq 2$,
(iii) $p > q \geq 3$,
(iv) $p = 3$ *and* $q = 2$.

Then $s_{p,q}(f) \in \mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ in $|z| < 1/2$.

Proof. Let $f = h + \overline{g}$ be in the class $\mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$, where h and g are of the form (1.2). So $\sigma_p(h)(z) = \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ and $\sigma_q(g)(z) = \sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} b_k z^k$. Then $h = s_p(h) + \sigma_p(h)$ and $g = s_q(g) + \sigma_q(g)$. To prove $s_{p,q}(f) \in \mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ it sufficies to prove that $s_p(h) + \epsilon s_q(g)$ is in the class $\mathcal{W}(\alpha)$ for each ϵ ($|\epsilon| = 1$). If $f \in \mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ then

(4.5)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{Re}\left((s_p(h) + \epsilon s_q(g))' + \alpha z (s_p(h) + \epsilon s_q(g))''\right) \\
&\geq \operatorname{Re}\left((h + \epsilon g)' + \alpha z ((h + \epsilon g)'')\right) - |((\sigma_p(h) + \epsilon \sigma_q(g))' + \alpha z (\sigma_p(h) + \epsilon \sigma_q(g))'')| \\
&\geq \frac{1 + |z|}{1 - |z|} - |((\sigma_p(h) + \epsilon \sigma_q(g))' + \alpha z (\sigma_p(h) + \epsilon \sigma_q(g))'')|
\end{aligned}$$

Case (i): $3 \leq p < q$

An application of Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.5 gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&|(\sigma_p(h) + \epsilon \sigma_q(g))' + \alpha z (\sigma_p(h) + \epsilon \sigma_q(g))''| \\
&= \Big| ((p+1) + \alpha p(p+1)) a_{p+1} z^p + \ldots + (q + \alpha q(q-1)) a_q z^{q-1} \\
&\qquad + \sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} (k + \alpha k(k-1))(a_k + \epsilon b_k) z^{k-1} \Big| \\
&\leq 2(|z|^p + \ldots + |z|^{q-1} + 2 \sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} |z|^{k-1}) = \frac{2|z|^p}{1 - |z|}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Using (4.6) in(4.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{Re}\left((s_p(h) + \epsilon s_q(g))' + \alpha z (s_p(h) + \epsilon s_q(g))''\right) \\
&\geq \frac{1 + |z|}{1 - |z|} - \frac{2|z|^p}{1 - |z|}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Now for $4 \leq p < q$ and $|z| = 1/2$, the inequality (4.7) yields

$$\operatorname{Re}\left((s_p(h) + \epsilon s_q(g))' + \alpha z (s_p(h) + \epsilon s_q(g))''\right) \geq \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} > 0.$$

Since $\operatorname{Re}\left((s_p(h) + \epsilon s_q(g))' + \alpha z (s_p(h) + \epsilon s_q(g))''\right)$ is harmonic, it assumes the minimum value on the circle $|z| = 1/2$. Therefore for $4 \leq p < q$, $s_{p,q}(f) \in \mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ in $|z| < 1/2$.

If $p = 3 < q$ then an application of Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 4.1 shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Re}\,((s_3(h)+\epsilon s_q(g))' + \alpha z(s_3(h)+\epsilon s_q(g))'') \\
&= \mathrm{Re}\left((s_3(h)+\epsilon s_3(g))' + \alpha z(s_3(h)+\epsilon s_3(g))'' + \epsilon\sum_{k=4}^{q}(k+\alpha k(k-1))b_k z^{k-1}\right) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{4} - \left|\epsilon\sum_{k=4}^{q}(k+\alpha k(k-1))b_k z^{k-1}\right| \\
&> \frac{1}{4} - \frac{|z|^3}{1-|z|}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\mathrm{Re}\,((s_3(h)+\epsilon s_q(g))' + \alpha z(s_3(h)+\epsilon s_q(g))'') > 0$$

for $|z| < 1/2$ and hence $s_{3,q}(f) \in \mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ for $|z| < 1/2$.

Case (ii): $p = q \geq 2$

If $p = q \geq 4$, then the inequality (4.7) gives $s_{p,q} \in \mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ in $|z| < 1/2$ and Lemma 4.1 implies that $s_{3,3} \in \mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ in $|z| < 1/2$. For $p = q = 2$, $s_{2,2}(f)(z) = z + a_2z^2 + \overline{b_2z^2}$. An application of Theorem 2.5 shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Re}\,((s_2(h)+\epsilon s_2(g))' + \alpha z(s_2(h)+\epsilon s_2(g))'') \\
&= 1 + 2(1+\alpha)\mathrm{Re}\,(a_2+\epsilon b_2)z \\
&\geq 1 - 2(1+\alpha)|(a_2+\epsilon b_2)z| \\
&\geq 1 - 2|z| > 0
\end{aligned}$$

in the disk $|z| < 1/2$. Hence, $s_{2,2}(f) \in \mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ for $|z| < 1/2$.

Case (iii): $p > q \geq 3$

By Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&|(\sigma_p(h)+\epsilon\sigma_q(g))' + \alpha z(\sigma_p(h)+\epsilon\sigma_q(g))''| \\
&= \Big|(q+1)+\alpha q(q+1))\epsilon b_{q+1}z^q + \ldots + (p+\alpha p(p-1))\epsilon b_p z^{p-1} \\
&\qquad + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}(k+\alpha k(k-1))(a_k\epsilon b_k)z^{k-1}\Big| \\
&= \frac{|z|^q - |z|^p}{1-|z|} + 2\frac{|z^p|}{1-|z|} = \frac{|z|^q + |z|^p}{1-|z|}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Using (4.8) in (4.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Re}\,(s_p(h)+\epsilon s_q(g))' + \alpha z(s_p(h)+\epsilon s_q(g))'' \\
&\geq \frac{1-|z|}{1+|z|} - \frac{|z|^q + |z|^p}{1-|z|}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

If $q \geq 4$ then as in Case (i) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\operatorname{Re}\left((s_p(h)+\epsilon s_q(g))'+\alpha z(s_p(h)+\epsilon s_q(g))''\right)\\&\geq \frac{1+|z|}{1-|z|}-\frac{2|z|^q}{1-|z|}>0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence for $q \geq 4$ and $p > q$, $s_{p,q} \in \mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ for $|z| < 1/2$.

If $q = 3$ and $|z| = 1/2$, from (4.9) it follows that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left((s_p(h)+\epsilon s_3(g))'+\alpha z(s_p(h)+\epsilon s_3(g))''\right) \geq \frac{1}{12}-\frac{1}{2^{p-1}}.$$

If $p \geq 5$ then the last estimate shows that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left((s_p(h)+\epsilon s_3(g))'+\alpha z(s_p(h)+\epsilon s_3(g))''\right) > 0$$

and hence $s_{p,q} \in \mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ for $|z| < 1/2$.

If $q = 3$ and $p = 4$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&\operatorname{Re}\left((s_4(h)+\epsilon s_3(g))'+\alpha z(s_4(h)+\epsilon s_3(g))''\right)\\&=\operatorname{Re}\left((s_4(h)+\epsilon s_3(g))'+\alpha z(s_4(h)+\epsilon s_3(g))''\right)+\operatorname{Re}\left((4+12\alpha)a_4z^3\right)\\&\geq\operatorname{Re}\left((s_4(h)+\epsilon s_3(g))'+\alpha z(s_4(h)+\epsilon s_3(g))''\right)-|((4+12\alpha)a_4z^3)|.\end{aligned}\tag{4.10}$$

Using Theorem 2.5 and Lemma 4.1 in (4.10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\operatorname{Re}\left((s_4(h)+\epsilon s_3(g))'+\alpha z(s_4(h)+\epsilon s_3(g))''\right)\\&>\frac{1}{4}-2|z|^3>\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{2^2}=0 \quad \text{for} \quad |z|=\frac{1}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

This implies $s_{4,3} \in \mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ for $|z| < 1/2$ and hence for $p > q \geq 3$, $s_{p,q} \in \mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ for $|z| < 1/2$.

Case (iv): p= 3 and q = 2

An application of Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 4.1 shows that

$$\begin{aligned}&\operatorname{Re}\left((s_3(h)+\epsilon s_2(g))'+\alpha z(s_3(h)+\epsilon s_2(g))''\right)\\&=\operatorname{Re}\left((s_3(h)+\epsilon s_3(g))'+\alpha z(s_3(h)+\epsilon s_3(g))''\right)-\operatorname{Re}\left(((3+6\alpha)\epsilon)b_3z^2\right)\\&>\frac{1}{4}-|z|^2>\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{2^2}=0 \quad \text{for} \quad |z|=1/2.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $s_{3,2} \in \mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ for $|z| < 1/2$. This completes the proof. □

Theorem 4.11. *Let $f \in \mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$. If $p = 2 < q$, then $s_{2,q}(f) \in \mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ in $|z| < \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}$. If $p \geq 4$ and $q = 2$, then $s_{p,2}(f) \in \mathcal{W}^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$ in $|z| < r_0$ where $r_0 \approx 0.433797$ is the unique real root of the equation $1 - 2r - r^3 - r^4 - r^5 = 0$.*

Proof. Let $p = 2 < q$. Then $s_{2,q}(f) = s_2(h) + \overline{s_q(g)} = z + a_2z^2 + \overline{\sum_{k=2}^{q} b_nz^n}$. So

$$\begin{aligned}&(s_2(h)+\epsilon s_q(g))'+\alpha z(s_2(h)+\epsilon s_q(g))''\\&=1+2a_2z(1+\alpha)+\epsilon\sum_{k=2}^{q}(k+\alpha k(k-1))b_kz^{k-1}.\end{aligned}$$

An application of Theorem 2.5 shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|((s_2(h)+\epsilon s_q(g))' + \alpha z(s_2(h)+\epsilon s_q(g))'') - 1| \\
&= \left| 2a_2 z(1+\alpha) + \epsilon \sum_{k=2}^{q} (k+\alpha k(k-1)) b_k z^{k-1} \right| \\
&= \left| 2(1+\alpha(a_2+\epsilon b_2)z + \epsilon \sum_{k=3}^{q} (k+\alpha k(k-1)) b_k z^{k-1} \right| \\
&< 2|z| + \frac{|z|^2}{1-|z|} \\
&< 1
\end{aligned}$$

for $|z| < \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}$. Hence $s_{2,q}(f) \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha)$ for $|z| < \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}$.

Consider the case $p \geq 4$ and $q = 2$. Then using (4.9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{Re}\left((s_p(h)+\epsilon s_2(g))' + \alpha z(s_p(h)+\epsilon s_2(g))''\right) \\
&\geq \frac{1-|z|}{1+|z|} - \frac{|z|^q + |z|^p}{1-|z|} \\
&\geq \frac{1-|z|}{1+|z|} - \frac{|z|^2 + |z|^4}{1-|z|} \\
&= \frac{1-2|z|-|z|^3-|z|^4-|z|^5}{1-|z|^2} > 0
\end{aligned}$$

for $|z| < r_0 \approx 433797$. Hence $s_{p,2}(f) \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha)$ for $|z| < 0.433797$.

□

References

[1] S. V. Bharanedhar and S. Ponnusamy, Uniform close-to-convexity radius of sections of functions in the close-to-convex family, *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **29** (2014), 243–251.

[2] L. Bieberbach, Über die Koeffizienten derjenigen Potenzreihen, welche eine schlichte Abbildung des Einheitskreises vermitteln, Sitzungsber. *Preuss. Akad. Wiss.* (1916), 940–955.

[3] L. de Branges, A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture. *Acta Math.* **154** (1985), no. 1-2, 137–152.

[4] D. Bshouty and A. Lyzzaik, Close-to-convexity criteria for planar harmonic mappings, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* **5** (2011), 767–774.

[5] D. Bshouty, S. S. Joshi and S. B. Joshi, On close-to-convex harmonic mappings, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* **58** (2013), 1195–1199.

[6] J. Clunie and T. Sheil-Small, Harmonic univalent functions, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A.I.* **9** (1984), 3–25.

[7] P. N. Chichra, New subclass of the class of close-to-convex function, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **62** (1977), 37–43.

[8] M. Dorff, Convolutions of planar harmonic convex mappings, *Complex Variables Theory Appl.* **45** (2001), 263–271.

[9] M. Dorff, Convolutions of harmonic convex mappings, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* **57** (2012), 489–503.

[10] R. M. Goodloe, Hadamard products of convex harmonic mappings, *Complex Var. Theory Appl.* **47** (2002), 81–92.

[11] L. Li and S. Ponnusamy, Disk of convexity of sections of univalent harmonic functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **408** (2013), 589–596.
[12] L. Li and S. Ponnusamy, Injectiove section of univalent harmonic mappings, *Nonlinear Analysis* **89** (2013), 276 –283.
[13] L. Li and S. Ponnusamy, Sections of stable harmonic convex functions, *Nonlinear Analysis* **123/124** (2015), 178–190.
[14] D. Kalaj, S. Ponnusamy and M. Vuorinen, Radius of close-to-convexity and full starlikeness of harmonic mappings, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* **59** (2014), 539–552.
[15] T. H. MacGregor, Functions whose derivative has a positive real part, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **104** (1962), 532–537.
[16] S. Nagpal and V. Ravichandran, Fully starlike and fully convex harmonic mappings of order α, *Ann. Polon. Math.* **108** (2013), 85–107.
[17] S. Nagpal and V. Ravichandran, Construction of subclasses of univalent harmonic mappings, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **53** (2014), 567–592.
[18] M. Obradovice and S. Ponnusamy, Injectivity and starlikeness of sections of a class of univalent functions, *Complex analysis and dynamical systems V, 195Ũ203, Contemp. Math., 591, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2013.* **591** (2013), 195–203.
[19] M. Obradovice and S. Ponnusamy, Starlikeness of sections of univalent functions, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **44** (2014), 1003–1014.
[20] S. Ponnusamy and A. Vasudevarao, Region of variability for functions with positive real part, *Ann. Polon. Math.* **99** (2010), 225–245.
[21] S. Ponnusamy, S. K. Sahoo and H. Yanagihara, Radius of convexity of partial sums of functions in the close-to-convex family, *Nonlinear Anal.* **95** (2014), 219–228.
[22] M. S. Robertson, On the theory of univalent functions, *Ann. of Math.* **37** (1936), 374–408.
[23] M. S. Robertson, The partial sums of multivalently star-like functions, *Ann. of Math.* **42** (1941), 829–838.
[24] S. Ruscheweh and T. Sheil-Small, Corrigendum: Hadamard products of schlicht functions and the Pólya-Schoenberg conjecture, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **48** (1973), 119–135.
[25] S. Ruscheweyh, Extension of Szegö's theorem on the sections of univalent functions, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **19** (1988), 1442–1449.
[26] H. Silverman, Radii problems for sections of convex functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **104** (1988), 1191–1196.
[27] R. Singh, Radius of convexity of partial sums of a certain power series, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* bf 11 (1970), 407–410.
[28] S. Singh and R. Singh, Starlikeness of close-to-convex function, *Indian. J. pure. appl. Math* **13** (1982), 190–194.
[29] R. Singh and S. Singh, Convolution properties of a class of starlike functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **106** (1989), 145–152.
[30] T. Sheil-Small, Constants for planar harmonic mappings, *J. London Math. Soc.* **42** (1990), 237–248.
[31] G. Szegö, Zur Theorie der schlichten Abbildungen, *Math. Ann.* **100** (1928), 188–211.
[32] X-T. Wang and X-Q. Liang, Precise coefficient estimates for close-to-convex harmonic univalent mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl* **263** (2001), 501–509.

Nirupam Ghosh, Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur, Kharagpur-721 302, West Bengal, India.
E-mail address: nirupam91@iitkgp.ac.in

A. Vasudevarao, Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur, Kharagpur-721 302, West Bengal, India.
E-mail address: alluvasu@maths.iitkgp.ernet.in